

NATURAL CLASSES OF MATRICES IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE

JOHN E. HUTCHINSON

CONTENTS

1. Existence of a Good Orthonormal Basis	1
1.1. Self-adjoint case	1
1.2. Unitary case	1
1.3. Normal case	2
2. Adjoints	2
3. Analytic and Matrix Approaches	2
3.1. Self-adjoint case	2
3.2. Unitary case	2
3.3. Normal case	2
4. Polar Decomposition	2
5. Another Characterisation	3

I will summarise the main results for linear operators $T : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ($T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$) which are related to the inner product on \mathbb{C}^n (\mathbb{R}^n).

Often I will confuse the operator and the matrix of the operator with respect to some basis (the basis being understood from context).

If the proofs are not relatively straightforward I will indicate this.

1. Existence of a Good Orthonormal Basis

1.1. Self-adjoint case. The operator T is *self-adjoint/Hermitian* iff it corresponds to a (real) stretch in n orthogonal directions (\mathbb{C} and \mathbb{R} case). Note that in the \mathbb{C} case, a “direction” corresponds to a 1-complex-dimensional subspace and hence to a 2-real-dimensional subspace. A stretch corresponds to multiplying by a real number in each of these n complex subspaces.

Equivalently, T is self-adjoint iff there is an orthonormal basis w.r.t. which T is a real diagonal matrix.

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Think of the unit ball at the origin being stretched into an ellipsoid.

1.2. Unitary case. U is *unitary* (\mathbb{C} case) iff it corresponds to a rotation (multiplication by $e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}$) in n orthogonal directions v_1, \dots, v_n . Equivalently, U is unitary iff there is an orthonormal basis w.r.t. which U is a diagonal matrix with all diagonal entries having absolute value 1.

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & e^{i\theta_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

The corresponding result for orthonormal matrices (\mathbb{R} case) is not so clean and it is better to define orthonormal matrices as we do in ***. The result is that O is orthonormal iff there is an

Date: January 31, 2018.

orthonormal basis with respect to which O has matrix

$$O = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & & & \\ & & & -1 & & & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & & -1 & & & \\ & & & & & & \cos \theta_1 & -\sin \theta_1 & \\ & & & & & & \sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 & \\ & & & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & & & \cos \theta_k & -\sin \theta_k \\ & & & & & & & & & \sin \theta_k & \cos \theta_k \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus there is an orthonormal basis such that O fixes some vectors, reflects others, and rotates in orthogonal planes determined by pairs of the remaining basis vectors. In particular, in \mathbb{R}^3 every orthonormal operator is a rotation around some vector followed perhaps by a reflection in the plane orthogonal to this vector!

Think of the unit ball at the origin being rotated into itself (perhaps there is also a reflection, thus reversing the orientation)

1.3. Normal case. T (in the \mathbb{C} case) is normal iff it corresponds to multiplication by a complex numbers in each of n orthogonal directions. That is, to a stretch followed by a rotation in each of the corresponding n 2-real dimensional subspaces. Equivalently, iff there is an orthonormal basis with respect to which T is diagonal (with complex, not necessarily real, entries).

2. Adjoints

The adjoint T^* of the operator T is defined by $\langle T^*u, v \rangle = \langle u, Tv \rangle$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (\mathbb{R}^n).

With respect to any orthonormal basis, the matrix T^* is the conjugate (not necessary of course in the \mathbb{R} case) of the transpose of T , i.e. $T_{ij}^* = \overline{T_{ji}}$.

3. Analytic and Matrix Approaches

3.1. Self-adjoint case. T is self adjoint iff $T = T^*$.

Because of what this means for matrices, one often says T is Hermitian in the \mathbb{C} case and symmetric in the \mathbb{R} case.

The \implies case is easy but the converse requires work. It follows easily from the more general result for normal operators below

3.2. Unitary case. U is unitary (O is orthonormal) iff $UU^* = U^*U = I$ ($OO^* = O^*O = I$). (Clear from the matrix version.)

For matrices this is equivalent to the columns being orthonormal (norm one and inner products of different columns equalling zero) and also equivalent to the rows having the same property.

3.3. Normal case. T is normal iff $TT^* = T^*T$. (\implies is clear from the definition. The converse requires work).

4. Polar Decomposition

Every linear operator T (\mathbb{C} case) can be written in the form $T = UH$ where U is unitary and H is Hermitian (i.e. self-adjoint) with nonnegative e-values. That is, real nonnegative stretching in orthogonal complex directions followed by rotations in another set of orthogonal complex directions.

(If the rotations are in the same complex directions as the stretches, then the matrix is clearly normal.)

In the \mathbb{R} case $T = OS$ where O is orthonormal and S is symmetric with nonnegative e-values. That is, T is again a nonnegative stretch in orthogonal directions and then apply orthogonal transformation.

Think of taking the unit ball sitting at the origin, stretching it to an ellipsoid, and then rotating.

5. Another Characterisation

T is selfadjoint iff $\langle Tu, u \rangle$ is real for all u

T is unitary (orthonormal) iff $\|Tu\| = \|T^*u\| = \|u\|$ for all u

T is normal iff $\|Tu\| = \|T^*u\|$ for all u .

The proofs are fairly straightforward (with the HINT from the relevant assignment problem).